

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO BOX 149 Alexandra Vagnus 12013-1450

Alexandria, Virgii	na 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov		
and aspectator		

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/833,507	04(11/2001	Ralph A. Mosher	D/A0584Q	2992	
75	90 06/11/2003				
Patent Documentaton Center Xerox Corporation Xerox Square, 20th Floor			EXAMINER YOON, TAE H		
	1714	ių			
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicant(s) Applicant(s)	r std
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art	<u> </u>
,	T. Your 111	<i>Y</i>
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet beneath the corresponde	ence address –
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE $THKEE$ MONTH(S) FROM TH	HE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the r term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will bult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this continue, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35)	ne considered timely. mmunication. U.S.C. § 133).
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5-2/-	.3	
☐ This action is FINAL .		
Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19		its is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s) 1, 3-6, 9, 10, 12-18,	is/are pending in t	he application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn fr	om consideration.
XClaim(s)	is/are allowed.	
X Claim(s) 1, 3-6, 14-18 and 20	is/are rejected.	
Claim(s) 1, 3-6, 14-18 and 20 Claim(s) 9, 10, 12 and 13	is/are objected to.	
	are subject to rest	
Application Papers	requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _ approved _ disapproved.	
	cted to by the Examiner	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	oted to by the Examiner	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	occurs by the Examiner	
	occi to by the Examine.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	occi to by the Examiner	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received.	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No.	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No ts have been received	
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents 	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No ts have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume in this national stage application from the Internation* *Certified copies not received:	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No ts have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume in this national stage application from the Internation* *Certified copies not received:	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No. ts have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	·
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation* *Certified copies not received: ☐ Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper II	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No. ts have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation* *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). received. received in Application No. ts have been received al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) o(s). Interview Summary, PTO– Notice of Informal Patent	Application, PTO-152

Art Unit: 1714

The objected claims 14 and 16 are withdrawn due to new ground of rejection.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) 1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a); or

Claims 1, 3-6, 14-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Yanus et al (US 6,107,439).

Yanus et al teach the instant oxalic acid crosslinked, alcohol-soluble polyamide (Luckamide 5003) adhesive containing a charge transporting molecule and product thereof in example I. Other alcohol-soluble polyamides are taught at col. 11, lines 1-38 wherein CM4000 and CM8000 are also seen. Various charge transporting molecules are taught at col. 11, line 39 to col. 13, line 10.

Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,507 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 9, 10, 12 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 21 is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

THY/June 9, 2003

TAE H. YOON